

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JACOB STADFELD,

Defendant.

ORDER

13-cv-722-bbc

08-cr-138-bbc

Defendant Jacob Stadfeld has filed a motion for a 90-day extension of time to file his reply brief in support of his motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Defendant states he needs more time because he is being transferred between institutions, does not have access to his legal materials and has not yet received the government's response brief.

Ninety days is too long. Ordinarily a defendant has 10 days to reply to a responsive brief. However, in this instance I will give defendant until February 24, 2014, in which to do so.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that defendant Jacob Stadfeld's request for a 90-day extension of time to file his reply brief in support of his § 2255 motion is GRANTED in part. Defendant

may have until February 24, 2014, in which file his reply brief.

Entered this 20th day of December, 2013.

BY THE COURT:
/s/
BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge